

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/983,318 .	01/15/1998	SEPPO HUOTARI	PM244515/296	1286
909 7	590 01/15/2003			
	WINTHROP, LLP		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1050 MCLEAN, VA			GESESSE, TILAHUN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2685	
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,			1,
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	//_
	08/983,318	HUOTARI, SEPPO	,
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tilahun B Gesesse	2685	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	·
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	ONTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ate, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	<u>? May 2002</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims			rits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-19</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-19</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers	·		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approved b)∏ d	isapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r			
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documer			
2. Certified copies of the priority documer			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	e
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional appl	ication).
a) The translation of the foreign language p	• •		
Attachment(s)	•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Drawings read in light of the specification and drawings are categorized as prior art drawings and drawings newly improved similar to specification (i.e., background of the invention and invention summary). However, the instant application fails to distinguish between drawing as prior art and drawing as main invention in order ordinary skill in the art would clearly understand improvement of the instant application. As applicant's specification indicates (page 1) that fig.1 is a drawing to illustrate the set up connection mode of background of the invention "prior art", Drawing fig.2 is to illustrate the exchange of signal between various elements of the network. Hence, there is no drawing. Separate illustrated drawing of the main invention or improvement of instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 11-12,14-16, and 18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Serbetcioglu et al (us 5,511,111).

As to claim 11-12,14, Serbetcioglu et al disclose a method of transmitting an identity of a calling subscriber to a called subscriber, wherein the called subscriber is a mobile subscriber in a mobile communication system comprising switching centers for establishing a speech connection

Art Unit: 2685

between the calling subscriber (336 of fig.3A) and a mobile station assigned to the called subscriber (324 of 3H), (fig3A- 3L) wherein one of the switching centers (300) is associated with the called subscriber (324 or 376 of fig.1), the method comprising: Serbetcioglu et al disclose storing permanent subscriber data in a home local register and Storing termporary subscriber data in a visitor location register; (col.7 lines 19-29). It is inherent that HLR is a permanent storing database and VLR is temporary storing database. Serbetcioglu et al disclose transmitting the identity of the calling subscriber to the switching center associated with the called subscriber in connection with a request for request for routing information (col.3 lines 33-38 and fig.3A-L).

As to claim 12 and 15, Serbetcioglu et al disclose transmitting the identity of the calling subscriber to the switching center associated with the called subscriber via the home location register (HLR 352 of fig.1).

As to claim 16, Serbetcioglu et al disclose everything as explained above and furthermore Serbetcioglu et al disclose a first interface (300 of fig.3A) toward a wireless or wiredline network "gateway switching center" for receiving a request a connection between a calling and a called subscribers, (fig.3A). Serbetcioglu et al disclose a second interface toward a home location register for receiveing an identity of the calling subscriber in connection with a request for routing information with a request for routing information relating to called subscriber (col.7 lines 5-18 and fig.3B-3C). Serbetcioglu et al disclose a third interface toward the called subscriber for establishin the requested speech connection between the calling subscriber and the called subscriber, and for transmitting the identity of the calling subscriber obtained from the home location register to the called subscriber (fig.3H).

Art Unit: 2685

As to claim 18, Serbetcioglu et al disclose everything as explained above and furthermore, Serbetcioglu et al disclose the home location register (HLR 352) links MSC(VLR)320 and interfaces the wireless or wiredline network 300 and calling subscriber (336) in order to access the indentity or CLI (fig.3). Further more, Serbetcioglu et al disclose a second interface toward a combination of a visitor location register plus mobile switching center (320) "VMSC", for requesting routing information relating to the called subscriber and for transmitting the identity of the calling subscriber to said VMSC,(fig.3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 13,17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Serbetcioglu et al in view of Maenpaa (5,600705).

As to claim 13,17 and 19, Serbetcioglu et al differs in disclosing signaling technique of subscriber identity in a map provide roaming number. Maenpaa, however, discloses signaling

Art Unit: 2685

the subscriber identity in a map provide roaming number, (col.2 lines 1-16, col. 7 lines 60-68. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to modify the map provide roaming number of Ericsson with teaching of Maenpaa, in order to identify the roaming subscriber by roaming number and locate the area roaming the subscriber.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-6296, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 305-9508 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tilahun Gesesse whose telephone number is (703) 308-5873.. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban, can be reached on (703) 305-4385. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-6306 or (703) 308-6296.

Art Unit: 2685

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

TBG

Dec.31,2002

7ilahun Gesesse

EDWARD F. URBAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600